

## University of Oklahoma College of Law University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

---

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

---

5-29-1858

John Holland

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indian and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 494, 35th Cong. 1st Sess. (1858)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [darinfox@ou.edu](mailto:darinfox@ou.edu).

JOHN HOLLAND.

[To accompany Bill H. R. No. 631.]

MAY 29, 1858.

Mr. FLORENCE, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, made the following

### REPORT.

*The Committee on Invalid Pensions, to whom were referred the petition and accompanying papers of John Holland, of the State of Arkansas, report:*

That, from the evidence submitted, they find that the petitioner was a private during the years 1792 and 1793, in the companies of Captains Hatcher and Wilkins, against the Cherokee Indians in the State of Georgia. In said companies he performed much meritorious service, and was twice wounded by the Indians: first, by a rifle ball, and, second, by a cut with a knife, which severed the arteries and leaders of his right thigh, which has rendered him a cripple ever since; and by reason of said wounds he is now unable to earn a living by manual labor.

Richard Wilkins, a son of one of the captains under whom he served, testifies under oath to the facts set forth in the petition, he having a personal knowledge of the same.

Isaac A. Walton and Jesse Louthe, who are certified to be men of credibility, and reputable in their profession, testify to the character of the wounds, and to the fact that the petitioner is now disabled to such an extent that he cannot earn a living by manual labor.

Your committee think the case one that fully justifies relief at the hands of Congress, and they therefore report a bill.